Docket No.1	Transporter/seller	Recipient	Date filed	Part 284 subpart	Est. max. daily quan- tity <sup>2</sup>	Aff. Y/A/ N <sup>3</sup>	Rate sch.	Date com- menced	Projected termination date
ST95-2917	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF MEIGS	06–30–95	G-S	116	N	F	06–01–95	10-31-96
ST95-2918	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF BLAKELY	06–30–95	G-S	196	N	F	06–04–95	12–31–05
ST95-2919	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF VIENNA	06–30–95	G–S	179	N	F	06–01–95	12–31–05
ST95-2920	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF NASH- VILLE.	06–30–95	G–S	114	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–95
ST95-2921	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF CAMILLA	06–30–95	G-S	158	N	F	06–01–95	12–31–05
ST95-2922	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF DOERUN	06–30–95	G-S	160	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96
ST95-2923	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF DONALSONVILLE.	06–30–95	G-S	34	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96
ST95-2924	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF SPARTA	06–30–95	G-S	525	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–95
ST95-2925	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF OCILLA	06–30–95	G-S	275	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96
ST95-2926	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF UNADILLA	06–30–95	G-S	321	N	F	06–02–95	10–31–96
ST95-2927	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF MILLEN	06–30–95	G-S	766	N	F	06–08–95	10–31–95
ST95-2928	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF HOGANSVILLE.	06–30–95	G-S	1,022	N	F	06–20–95	10–31–95
ST95-2929	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF COCHRAN	06–30–95	G-S	1,251	N	F	06–20–95	10–31–95
ST95-2930	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF LUMPKIN	06–30–95	G-S	202	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96
ST95-2931	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF WOOD- LAND.	06–30–95	G-S	102	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96
ST95-2932	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF COLQUITT	06–30–95	G-S	114	N	F	06–02–95	10–31–95
ST95-2933	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF EDISON	06–30–95	G-S	44	N	F	06–02–95	10–31–96
ST95-2934	SOUTHERN NATU- RAL GAS CO.	CITY OF DONALSONVILLE.	06–30–95	G-S	126	N	F	06–01–95	10–31–96

<sup>1</sup>NOTICE OF TRANSACTIONS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A DETERMINATION THAT FILINGS COMPLY WITH COMMISSION REGULATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ORDER NO. 436 (FINAL RULE AND NOTICE REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS, 50 FR 42372, 10/10/85).

<sup>2</sup>ESTIMATED MAXIMUM DAILY VOLUMES INCLUDES VOLUMES REPORTED BY THE FILING COMPANY IN MMBTU, MCF AND DT. 
<sup>3</sup>AFFILIATION OF REPORTING COMPANY TO ENTITIES INVOLVED IN THE TRANSACTION. A "Y" INDICATES AFFILIATION, AN "A" INDICATES MARKETING AFFILIATION, AND A "N" INDICATES NO AFFILIATION.

[FR Doc. 95–18547 Filed 7–27–95; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP95-615-000, et al.]

## Mississippi River Transmission Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

July 20, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

# 1. Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-615-000]

Take notice that on July 13, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, filed in Docket No. CP95–615–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to install a sales tap to provide natural gas transportation service to the American Refining Group (ARG), under MRT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–489–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT proposes to install approximately 2,300 feet of 2-inch pipe, a measuring and regulating station, and related facilities and equipment. The proposed sales tap will interconnect with MRT's Alton Loop East in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 9 West, Madison County, Illinois and requires the purchase of right-of-way. ARG requested this delivery for its fuel oil refining plant in Madison County. MRT states that it will deliver an estimated 400 MMBtu of natural gas on a peak day and an estimated 80,000 MMBtu on an annual basis at the proposed sales tap.

MRT is authorized to transport gas for ARG pursuant to its blanket transportation certificate issued in Docket No. CP89–1121–000. MRT states that the proposed facilities will cost \$81,000 and MRT Energy Marketing Company, the marketing company which has contracted to provide service to ARG, will reimburse MRT for the cost

MRT states that this additional sales tap is not prohibited in its existing FERC Gas Tariff, that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish the proposed deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers, and it is not expected to affect MRT's systemwide peak day deliveries.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### 2. Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.

[Docket No. CP95-616-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1995, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. (Granite State), 300 Friberg Parkway, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581, filed in Docket No. CP95-616-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to add a new delivery point in Old Orchard, Maine, for deliveries to its affiliate distributor. Northern Utilities, Inc. (Northern Utilities). Granite State makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-515-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Granife State indicates that it will install a new delivery point on its existing transmission line, within its existing right-of-way at Cascade Road, Old Orchard, Maine. It has been averred that this proposal will provide service to several new customers, who have an estimated annual consumption of 29,898 Mcf. It is stated that the new delivery point is estimated to cost \$30,755, which Granite State will be reimbursed for by Northern Utilities.

It is further stated that the total volumes which Granite State is authorized to deliver to Northern Utilities, after approval of this request will not exceed Northern Utilities existing entitlements. It is also stated that the construction of the new delivery point is not prohibited by Granite State's existing tariff pursuant to which firm transportation deliveries are made to Northern Utilities, and that deliveries through the new delivery point will be made without detriment or disadvantage to Granite State's other customers.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### 3. Trunkline Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-619-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1995, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642, filed in Docket No. CP95–619–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to operate a new delivery point and appurtenant facilities to accommodate natural gas deliveries to the City of Senatobia, Mississippi (Senatobia), a local distributor of natural

gas under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–84–000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Trunkline asserts that the proposed delivery point is located in Tate County, Mississippi. Trunkline claims that Senatobia will utilize an existing meter site located approximately 2,400 feet from Trunkline's right-of-way and construct a 4-inch pipeline on existing right-of-way from the meter site to the edge of Trunkline's right-of-way. Trunkline proposes to re-tap an existing 2-inch tap valve #82A-101 on its 26inch Line No. 100-1 and install approximately 200 feet of 2-inch pipeline on its existing right-of-way to connect with Senatobia's line. Trunkline states that it will own, operate and maintain the hot tap and the line up to the Senatobia pipeline. Additionally, Trunkline proposes to install, own and operate the electronic gas measurement system (EGM) including communications at the meter

Trunkline states that the proposed delivery point will permit Trunkline to accommodate natural gas deliveries of 10 Mmcf per day of natural gas to Senatobia. Trunkline estimates that the cost of re-tapping the hot tap, EGM, and appurtenant facilities will be approximately \$55,000.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 4. East Tennessee Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-621-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-621-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon its Newport Lateral and the Newport Loop by sale to Jefferson-Cocke County Utility District (Jefferson-Cocke) under East Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

East Tennessee proposes to abandon its Newport Lateral (12.102 miles of 6inch pipeline) and the Newport Loop (0.685 miles of 6-inch pipeline) by sale to Jefferson-Cocke. East Tennessee states that Jefferson-Cocke is the only customer served by the facilities proposed to be abandoned. These facilities are located in Jefferson and Cocke Counties, Tennessee, downstream of East Tennessee's White Pine meter station extending from M.P. 3303B–101+0.01 and ending at M.P. 3303B–104+0.00. The Newport Loop, which parallels the Newport Lateral, is located at the French Broad River crossing. East Tennessee lists the cost of the facilities proposed to be abandoned as \$310,074.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 5. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-625-000]

Take notice that on July 18, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP95-625-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to remove and abandon existing facilities and to construct and operate upgraded metering facilities at the Kettle Falls Meter Station located in Spokane County, Washington under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that the proposed upgraded meter station will have a design capacity of approximately 30,250 Dth per day at a delivery pressure of 360 psig and that the proposed facilities will be used to provide firm deliveries of up to 30,000 Dth per day to The Washington Water Power Company (Water Power) under existing transportation agreements.

Northwest also states that the total costs for removing and abandoning the existing facilities and constructing the upgraded meter station are estimated to be \$438,500, approximately \$83,753 of which will be reimbursed by Water Power.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# 6. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-626-000]

Take notice that on July 19, 1995, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228–8304, filed in Docket No. CP95–626–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for approval to install and operate six new delivery taps for its affiliate, K N Energy, Inc., (K N), a local distribution company, for ultimate sale to various retail customers, under K N Interstate's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–140–000 and CP83–140–001, and Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

K N Interstate proposes four new delivery taps to be located in Frontier, Lincoln, and Valley Counties, Nebraska. K N Interstate states that the proposed taps will deliver 2, 137, 137, and 30 Mcf on a peak day, respectively, and 144, 8,208, 8,208, and 990 Mcf annually, respectively. K N estimates that these taps will cost \$400, \$2500, \$2500, and \$1,150, respectively, to construct.

K N Interstate also proposes two new delivery taps to be located in Logan County, Colorado and Converse County, Wyoming, respectively. K N Interstate states that these proposed taps will deliver 3 and 5 Mcf on a peak day, respectively, and 202 and 288 Mcf annually, respectively. K N Interstate further estimates that these taps will both cost \$400 to construct.

K N Interstate indicates that the proposed facilities will not have an adverse impact on its existing customers. K N Interstate advises that the volumes of gas which will be delivered at the proposed taps will be within the current maximum daily transportation quantity set forth in K N Interstate's transportation service agreement with K N. K N Interstate further advises that the addition of the delivery taps is not prohibited by its existing tariff.

Comment date: September 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraph

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed

for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–18546 Filed 7–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Docket No. ER93-465-017]

# Florida Power & Light Co.; Notice of Filing

July 24, 1995.

Take notice that on June 23, 1995, Florida Power & Light Company tendered for filing its compliance filing in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before August 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–18539 Filed 7–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. PR95-15-000]

# Manchester Pipeline Corp.; Notice of Petition for Rate Approval

July 24, 1995.

Take notice that on July 12, 1995, **Manchester Pipeline Corporation** (Manchester) filed pursuant to section 284.123(b)(2) of the Commission's regulations, a petition for rate approval requesting that the Commission approve as fair and equitable, market-based rates for firm and interruptible storage services performed under section 311(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA). The rates for the individual storage services will be negotiated between Manchester and various shippers. Manchester does not propose to have established any maximum or minimum rate for any generic service. Manchester does, however, intend to retain 2.80% of the

injection/withdrawal volumes as an allowance for compressor fuel and losses for storage of natural gas.

Manchester's petition states that it is an intrastate natural gas pipeline company within the meaning of section 2(16) of the NGPA in the State of Oklahoma. Manchester owns storage facilities in the State of Oklahoma, which are the subject of this petition. The storage facilities consist of 17 Bcf of working storage capacity with injection rates of up to 100 MMcf per day and withdrawal rates of up to 250 MMcf per day. Facilities also include approximately 13 miles of pipeline interconnecting the storage facilities with Oklahoma Natural Gas Company and Williams Natural Gas Company, nine injection/withdrawal wells, and three compressor units. Manchester is a new entrant in the storage market and has not previously offered Section 311 services. Manchester proposes to charge market-based rates subject to refund effective upon the filing of this petition.

Pursuant to section 284.123(b)(2)(ii), if the Commission does not act within 150 days of the filing date, the market-based negotiated rates for firm and interruptible storage services will be deemed to be fair and equitable and not in excess of an amount which interstate pipelines would be permitted to charge for similar service. The Commission may, prior to the expiration of the 150-day period, extend the time for action or institute a proceeding to afford parties an opportunity for written comments and for the oral presentation of views, data, and arguments.

Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All motions must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission on or before August 8, 1995. The petition for rate approval is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

## Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–18542 Filed 7–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Docket No. ER95-1035-000]

### Nevada Power Co.; Notice of Filing

July 24, 1995.

Take notice that on June 20, 1995, Nevada Power Company tendered for filing an amendment to its May 10, 1995 filing in the above-referenced Docket. The Docket provides for the sale of firm capacity and energy to the Colorado